2-9-02

To Whom It my concern, I am very please that the ftc for Pennsylvania will and I hope can get the bill passed for the DO NOT CALL.Virginia Ryan and John Ryan

Mr & Mrs John & Virginia Ryan
[redacted]
[redacted], Pa [redacted]

1 31 02

I would like "at least" an option to Not Receive telemarketing calls — they are intrusive and unwanted. Thanks for any help

Kay A. Sampson

[redacted] MI

TELEMARKETING RULEMAKING –
COMMENT FTC File No R411001

I'm age 80. I hate being disturbed by a salesperson – getting to the phone thinking it's family + it ain't!!
at this age who needs anything.
I pay for my phone – I should have the say...!!!

Jane Schlegel

Center for Marine Conservation
PROTECT OCEAN LIFE
Mrs. William H. Schlegel
[redacted], MD [redacted]

Judy Schoen
[redacted] PA [redacted]

February 4, 2002

Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20580

To Whom It May Concern:
Re: Telemarketing Rulemaking-Comment FTC File No. R41101

Telemarking has become one of the most annoying scourges of the 21st Century. I would like to see this annoyance stopped forever.

I am currently employed by a company that requests us to telemarket and I am tired of having the phone banged in my ear and also the embarassment of having to contribute to this scourge.

Please see that all telemarking is stopped immediately.

Very truly yours,

Judith M. Schoen

2-1-02

FTC
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
ROOM 159
600 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20580

Please be advised that I do <u>not</u> want to be called by Telemarketers. Thank you. U.S.

UTA SCHUBERT
[redacted]
[redacted] PA. [redacted]
[redacted]

RE:
"TELEMARKETING RULEMAKING – COMMENT.
FTC FILE# R411001."

Guinevere F. Scott
[redacted]
[redacted], MD 2[redacted]
Live in the Spirit That Takes Away The Occasion of all War

Office of the Secretary, Room 159
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

7 February 2002

Comments on a centralized, national "Do Not Call registry.

1. I would welcome such a registry wholeheartedly, particularly if it covered all calls from telemarketers whether they are selling commodities or services, or soliciting for charities or politicians.
2. I hate waste: these calls waste my time, energy, and patience as well as the caller's time and money.
3. If the caller gives me an early opportunity to be heard, I respond: "Please remove my number from your calling list. I never buy from telemarketers. Thank you."
4. If the caller starts off with a rapid, non-stop promotional talk, I quietly hang up with no comment.

Guinevere F. Scott

LOUISE E. SELTZER
[redacted]
[redacted] PENNSYLVANIA [redacted]

Jan. 26, 02

I highly approve of the FTC proposal of stopping national telemarketing, and wish to be placed on the "do not call" list.

Good luck in stopping the nuisances of telemarketing

Louise E Seltzer

Telemarketing Rulemaking Comment
FTC. File # P. 411001

Freda & Walter Shulruff D.D.S.
[redacted] Il. [redacted]

January 30, 2002

Federal Trade Commission
Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave.
Washington, D.C. 50580

Dear Sir:

I understand that you are proposing a central national number for a "Do Not Call" registry to control telemarketing.

We think this is a wonderful idea. Please implement it.

Sincerely yours,

Freda Shulruff
Walter Shulruff

Freda Shulruff
Walter Shulruff



Office of Secretary
Room 159
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
Washington, D.C.
20580

© USPS 1998 recycled

Feb. 8, 2002

F.T.C.

Dear Sirs,

Yes, I would like to amend "TSR" by creating a "do not call registry," for all hours of the day or night.

Thank you,
Celia Shuman

Ms. Judith Smashey
[redacted]y NJ [redacted]2
THE HUMANE SOCIETY OF THE UNITED STATES

[redacted]n J.

Dear Sir:

I am writing to you about the bothersome telemarket calls. Most times they don't even answer the phone. I pick phone up say hello and they hang up. This is even more bothersome. One day I got ten of these calls not one person ever got on the line.

I don't think these calls should be allowed to be made at all! I would like my name taken off their list. Hopefully you will do something about this.

Judith Smashey

JOHN W. SPENCE
[redacted], IN [redacted] PHONE [redacted]

February 7, 2002

FTC, office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
Washington DC 20580

Sir or Madame: Please record me as wanting you to stop the telephone calls to us from the people selling by phone.

John W Spence

CHUCK & MARION STANDLEY
[redacted] MI [redacted]

02/09/02

Hi!

plase add my name to your "do not call" list. I hat telemarketers!

They always call and disturb us betwan 4 P.M. and bed time. I hat it!

If they call for my wife - I usally tell them that I just killed her, and buried her in our backyard!

It doesn't work - another calls the nept day. I think of shaping for a very loud whistle.

Respectfully,

Chuck Standley

Alan Steinberg

[redacted]

[redacted] a MD 2[redacted]

Jan. 31, 2002

Office of the Secretary
Room 159
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20580

Re: Do Not Call Registry

Dear Sir:

We are very much hoping that this proposal will be implemented to give us some relief from tele-marketers. The situation had become so unbearable that we felt we had to sign up for "call block" with Verizon. We were getting about 6-8 calls per night – including weekends. It was difficult to have the use of our own phone for our own use. Our peace and privacy at home is very important to us. In fact, we wish we could also have the option of eliminating calls from charities and political groups as well as businesses. Let them all contact us by mail.

Sincerely, Alan and Ellen Steinberg

Member
The Humane Society of the United States

Adopt your next pet from an animal shelter, and give a pet a good home.

Mr. Eppie R. Stone
[redacted]
[redacted], NC [redacted]

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please take our name off of your calling list of telemarketers. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Eppie & Betty Stone

[redacted]

to FTC

31 JAN 2002

ARTICLE in POST

Please STOP all telemarketers!!
I am sick of all kinds of companies calling me at all hours of the day to try to sell me any + every thing!
I always SAY NO! & QUIT calling & — number!
So please - this should never have been Allowed!
Mrs. Florence J. Strean

Florence J. Strean
[redacted]
[redacted] MD
[redacted]

Please Send Us Your Comments about the Proposed Amendment to the Telemarketing Sales Rule

[redacted]

Your Opportunity to Comment

Whether you are a consumer or represent a business, the FTC wants your opinions about the proposed changes to the Telemarketing Sales Rule, including *its* proposal to create a national "do not call" registry.

You *can* submit your *comments* by sending an email to tsr@ftc.gov or by writing to the Office of the Secretary, Room 159, Federal Trade Commission, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20580. For a list of more detailed questions, please refer to the **Proposed** Rule.

Comments will be posted. All comments that the FTC receives on the proposed amendments to the Telemarketing Sates Rule will be posted on the FTC website. E-mail addresses and phone numbers of individual commenters will be removed before posting.

In addition to any general comments *on the* proposed TSR changes, the FTC is interested in your comments on the following questions:

(a) How long should a telephone number remain on the national "do not call" registry? UNTIL CONSUMER OR BUSINESS REQUESTS TO BE PUT BACK ON CALL LIST.

(b) Who should be permitted to request that a telephone number be placed on the "do not call" registry? Should requests from the line subscriber's spouse or adult child(?) be permitted? Should third parties (outside the FTC) be permitted to collect and forward requests to be put on the "do not call" registry? ANY PERSON WHOSE NAME APPEARS ON STATEMENT 3RD PARTIES SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO MAKE REQUESTS.

(c) What security measures are appropriate and necessary to ensure that only those people who want to place their telephone numbers on the "do not call" registry can do so? Should consumers be able to verify that their numbers have been placed on the registry? If so, how? CONSUMERS SHOULD BE ABLE TO VERIFY REGISTRY. VERIFICATION SHOULD BE AVAILABLE ONLINE AND VIA QUARTERLY POSTING FROM TELEPHONE PROVIDER

(d) Should the "do not call" registry be an "all or nothing" option or should it instead allow consumers to specify the days or time of day that they are willing to accept telemarketing calls? BOTH OPTIONS SHOULD BE AVAILABLE

(e) The proposed rule would permit consumers or donors who place their name and telephone number on the "do not call" registry to provide express verifiable authorization to specific sellers or organizations to make calls to them. How will this requirement affect those entities with which a consumer or donor has a pre-exisiting relationship? THE MOST CURRENT REQUEST SHOULD SUPERCEDE ANY PREVIOUS REQUEST.

General Questions for Comment:

Please provide comment, including relevant data, statistics, consumer complaint information, of any other evidence, on each different proposed change to the Rule. For each proposed modification that you suggest, please include answers to the following questions:

(a) What is the effect (including any benefits and costs), if any, on consumers?
NO COST TO CONSUMERS

(b) What is the impact (including any benefits and costs), if any, on individual firms that must comply with the Rule? NO COSTS TO BUSINESSES.

(c) What is the impact (including any benefits and costs), if any, on industry?
HOPEFULLY TELEMARKETING INDUSTRY WILL BE GREATLY REDUCED OR ELIMINATED.

(d) What changes, if any, should be made to the proposed Rule to minimize any cost to industry or consumers? THERE SHOULD BE NO COSTS TO CONSUMERS OR BUSINESSES

(e) How would each suggested change affect the benefits that might be provided by the proposed Rule to consumers or industry? THE CONSUMER'S BENEFIT WILL BE MORE TIME BETTER SERVED DOING MORE IMPORTANT THINGS.

(f) How would the proposed Rule affect small business entities with respect to costs, profitability, competitiveness, and employment?

SEE ABOVE

Please submit your comments by sending an email to tsr@ftc.gov.

Get Adobe

Get Adobe Acrobat Reader

[redacted]

[redacted]
Washington, DC [redacted]
February 2, 2002

Office of the Secretary
Room 159, Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20580

Gentlemen:

Re: Proposed "Do Not Call" Registry

I have read that under your proposal it would be illegal for telemarketers to call anyone who has added his telephone number to a centralized, national "Do Not Call" registry.

THIS IS A GREAT, LONG OVERDUE, PROPOSAL! Please, please, put this rule into effect as soon as possible.

As a retiree, my peace and quiet are constantly being intruded upon by telemarketers. Charitable organizations soliciting donations are almost as bad. They should also be placed under the "Do Not Call" rules.

Insofar as any loss of jobs is concerned, I'm certain these people can find something more productive to do.

Sincerely,
George N. Ferris

[redacted] VA[redacted]
February 4, 2002

Office of the Secretary. Rm. 159
Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Washington, DC 20580

Dear Sir or Madam:

I am very much in favor of having a "Do Mot Call" plan since I definitely do not want any soliticing phone calls. I feel that it is very unfair that they have access to my number, but can make their number unavailable so I cannot call them. In order to eliminate the calls, I am paying for Caller I.D. to screen my calls and I resent that I have to pay to avoid answering their calls.

Yours truly,

(Ms. Marion A. Timmons

Marion A. Timmons

DAVID ROSS TOLL
[redacted]
[redacted] MARYLAND [redacted]

February 5,02

Mr. Howard Beales
Director, FTC Consumer Protection Bureau
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir;

Reviewing an article in the Washington Post titled "Hate Telemarketers?" Tell it to the FTC, I wish to add my name to any such program which offers a new solution...a centralized national "Do Not Call" registry.

I look forward to reading about such action to put a stop to this invasion of my time and privacy.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Shirley R. Toll
Mrs. David R. Toll

February 1, 2002

To Whom It May Concern:

I understand you are interested in comments from the public regarding telemarketing. I welcome this opportunity to express my feelings regarding the telemarketing industry. In my opinion, it is one of the worst ideas ever to come along! They are a constant nuisance. They call at all times of the day and night - even on weekends - invading our privacy and constantly interrupting whatever we are doing. They are pushy, persistent, sometimes rude, and will not take "no" for an answer. They intrude into our home and then act like I have no choice but to talk to them. I say I am not interested in buying what they are selling, and they become belligerent and insist on knowing why I'm not interested. In my opinion, I owe them no explanation whatsoever! At certain times of the month, probably when telemarketers have to meet some kind of quota, I could get as many as 15 or 20 calls a day. It was infuriating! I didn't want to answer my own telephone it got so bad! I even considered getting

(over)

Caller ID just to weed out these calls, but why should I have the extra expense because of them!

Another annoying feature of telemarketing is that apparently, phone numbers are dialed by computers and if you don't answer quickly enough, there will be only dead air on the line when you do get to the phone. You avoid the conversation with the telemarketer, but the interruption still occurs.

Last year, here in New York State, I signed up on the "Do Not Call" registry. Although I still get telemarketing calls at times (4 this week alone) it has been a vast improvement. I am very much in favor of your proposal to initiate this program on a national level. No one should have to endure this barrage of unwanted telephone calls at the hands of telemarketers. I sincerely hope you do go ahead with this program – millions of Americans will thank you for it.

Sincerely,
(Mrs.) Robert J. Tornblom

Tornblom
[redacted]
[redacted], New York
[redacted]

February 4, 2002

Telemarketing Rulemaking–Comment
FTC File No. R411001

I support a national don't call list for telemarketers.

They are a nuisance and I should not have to pay (unlisted number or caller ID) to have peace in my home.

It is not unusual to have 4–8 calls/day.

Please help.

Annabelle Trudnowski
[redacted]
[redacted] PA [redacted]

From the Desk of:

Ted Uhlman

1-28-02

FTC Office;

Please enforce the telemarketing proposal "do not call list".

Thank you

Mr & Mrs

T. L. Uhlmans.

Mr. & Mrs. Theodore L. Uhlman
[redacted]
[redacted] NJ [redacted]

[redacted], Maryland
[redacted]

Feb. 7, 2002

Office of the Secretary
Room 159
Federal trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20580

Comments on a Centralized, National "Do Not Call" Registry:

This is something that is sorely needed. Over the years we have written letters to various Companies to remove our name + number from their telemarketing list. Soon another Company is Calling and disturbing our home. Before his death my husband was at home and disabled by Conjestive heart failure. At times he was alone at home while I worked. His rest was disturbed many, many times by telemarketers who Could Care less about the effort it took for him to get up out of bed to answer some trivial phone Call. Answer machines and Caller ID help up to a point. People have a right to a Calm, quiet home - especially when someone is ill.

I urge the Federal trade Commission to establish a Centralized, national "Do Not Call" registry. And do it Promptly.

Sincerely,

Patricia A. Weaver

Comment FTC File R411001

Don and Joni Weidner

Note: your E mail address could not be delivered

From: "Don and Joni Weidner" [redacted]
To: "Donald Weidner" [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, January 31,2002 8:17 PM
Subject: Telemarketers

.....Some input for your attention. Telemarketers.....the worse of the worse! Why should we, the Public, have had to put up with these intrusions throughout the day over these last several years....and why should we PAY, in Florida, to get on a "No Sales Solicitation Calls" list? Or, take the time to contact the Direct Marketing Association for a free "Do Not Call" list?

Please, please, give the consumer(s) some relief from these intrusions.

Our family receives between three and seven calls a day from telemarketers.....absurd! We also found it necessary to purchase a phone with Caller ID to keep handy and thus be able to check each time the phone rings, so that these calls would not be answered. In addition, we also have a separate Caller ID machine in another room to assist in this problem.

Why should we pay for a private phone line, while our privacy is being invaded during the day and evening....and the government postures and postures while "giving us the opportunity" to pay some more each year to keep these leeches from intruding on our lives?

It should NOT be the Public's responsibility to stop these calls, but the Federal Trade Commission's responsibility.

The proposal for a national "Do Not Call" registry which consumers could join for free, with a single phone call, is one definite way to go. We, the Public, will be watching the Commission on its decision!

Don Weidner

Joni and Don Weidner

[redacted]

368

1/31/2002

February 6, 2002

Office of Secretary, Rm 159
Federal Trade Commission
Washington, D.C., 20580

Please add my name to the
"Do Not Call" registry.

Sincerely,

Catherine Herner
[redacted]
Tel: [redacted]

No address on envelope

PLEASE,
Put us on the list of a national registry for people who do not want to be called by telemarketers. We strongly resent our privacy been invaded by unwanted or unsolicited telemarketers. We never buy from them nor have we ever heard of anyone who appreciates their calls. Don't call us ever!

Loran Whaley
Ruby Whaley
Pauline Whaley
Greg Whaley
Deborah Whaley
Gary Whaley
Geri Whaley Blair
Jeff Blair

OLE

1/30/02

Dear Mr. Secretary,

I am writing to tell you that I am very much in favor of the proposed national "Do Not Call" registry.

Telemarketers are like a pestilence in the land and requesting that one be taken off their list is fruitless.

I look forward to seeing the registry approved as soon as possible.

Thank very much.

Kindest regards,

Hazel E. Whitaker

Miss Hazel E. Whitaker
[redacted] MD 2[redacted]

Margaret W. and Charles E. Wiggins
[redacted], NC[redacted]

Date: February 6, 2002

To: Office of the Secretary
Room 159, Federal Trade Commission
600 Pennsylvania Ave.
NW, Washington, DC 20580

Subject: Proposed National Registry Of People Who Do Not Want To Be Called By Telemarketers.

Dear, Sir

My wife and I, as well as my mother: would like to be included in any registry of the nature listed above. The telemarketer aggravation has reach the proportion of harassment and we should have the means of stopping the parasitic. I have listed the information I perceived you would need, if additional information is required, please contact me.

Telephone Number: [redacted]
Location: [redacted], NC[redacted]
Name: Margaret W. and/or Charles E. Wiggins

Telephone Number: [redacted]
Location: [redacted], NC[redacted]
Name Louise B Wiggins

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Charles E Wiggins
Charles E Wiggins

cc: Louise B Wiggins

[redacted]
[redacted], Pa [redacted]
January 29, 2002

Gentlemen,

Please remove my name and telephone number from Telemarketing list. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Betty Winkelspecht

BETTY WINKELSPECHT
[redacted] PA [redacted]

Phone No:
[redacted]

TO "TELEMARKETING RULE-
MAKING COMMENT FTC
FILE NO. R411001

FROM: ROLAND & JULIA WOLFE
[redacted] PA

THE PURPOSE OF THIS LETTER IS TO ELIMINATE & REDUCE UNWANTED CALLS. UNWANTED CALLS WOULD ELIMINATE THE FOLLOWING

a) ANSWERING CALLS AT DINNER
b) RECEIVING CALLS WHEN COMPANY IS PRESENT
c) THE LACK OF NEED OF PRODUCT THAT IS BEING SOLD. MARKETEER DOESN'T TAKE "NO" FOR AN ANSWER.
d) THE LACK OF KNOWLEDGE ABOUT PRODUCT THAT IS BEING SOLD. THE PROLONGS THE LENTH OF CONVERSATION

SIGNED
ROLAND WOLFE TELEPHONE [redacted]
[redacted] PA

[redacted]

[redacted], PA [redacted]

January 28, 2002

FTC
Office of the Secretary
Room 159
600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20580

Re: Comments on Proposed Telemarketing Curbs

To whom it may concern:

Please do it sooner. Oh, please do it. My husband and I were forced to get an unlisted phone! number as a result of the assault these people launched against us. The unlisted number, however, is only good at keeping away people using phone directories. It is useless against computers randomly dialing all numbers in your region.

We got the unlisted number as a result of receiving calls at home from telemarketers who could not reach us at our, at the time, newly opened business. It was an all out assault. The phone calls would start at 7 AM and go until about 10 PM. They had gotten our names from the incorporation records that were publicly available. The calls would start: Is this the owner of Heat Transfer Technology?" Can you believe they tracked us down at home?

While things are not too bad at home now, they are impossible at our office. We receive sometimes over a dozen calls a day. The worst are from the long distance resellers who pretend to be from our current long distance carrier. It is very illegal to do this, but they rarely get caught. Then there are the office supply sellers who call and want to verify the address where your "ordered supplies" are to be shipped.

Let's move on to the companies who want to know about your copiers, fax machines, telephones, telephone service, cell phones, office equipment, car leases, computers, internet access, business insurance, car insurance, accounting firm, bank, etc. As you can see these parasites do little more than take up time we should be spending concentrating on our customers needs. They never take no for an answer. If you just hang up, most of the time they call you right back and say the nastiest things. Lovely!!! Now you have to get rid of them twice.

[redacted]

The ones that are most creative have different people call you, who pretend you never told "them" to call you again. Or those who tell our secretary that they are personal friends of ours and need to speak with us. "What's the matter with you? Don't you know who I am? I just saw "fill in a name" a few day ago and he/she said to give them a call." I think you get the point.

Put my husband and I and our secretary down for putting an end to this nightmare. The sooner the better!

Sincerely,

Laurie and Edward Yamasaki

James W. Zion
[redacted]NM[redacted]
[redacted]

January 25,2002

Federal Trade Commission
Office of the Secretary
600 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Room 159
Washington, DC 20580

Re: Telemarketing Rulemaking–Comment
FTC File No. R411001

Dear Federal Trade Commission:

I am an individual member of the public.who' writes to support this proposed rulemaking. I will outline my,interest.in the proposed rule, state specific problems I.have had with telemarketers, address industry free speech claims versus my right to privacy, and conclude.

I.aman attorney who has practiced law for over thirty years, and for identification, I advise that I was the president and chief counsel of the American Civil Liberties Union of Montana for eleven years. I work in my home as a legal writer and teacher, preparing materials on computer and transmittingthem.viae-mail. I write these comments because my time is valuable–"time is money" for me–and telemarketers injure my business.

I am opposed to telemarketing without my'consent because telemarketers (1) invade my privacy, and (2) waste my time, and '(3) cause a loss of income for the wasted time. I do a great'ileal ,of concentrated work on weekends, when there is a higher volume of those nuisance calls. I usually advise telemarketer calters that I have a policy of absolutely refusing to buy anything by telephone because of the invasion of my privacy and wasting my time. Therefore, it does no good for telemarketers to claim that they have any free speech right to call me. The calls are particularly annoying, because most of my work involves writing, where powers of concentration are involved. It takes a short time to regroup my thoughts even when a call is wanted. The annoyance of pest calls so anger me that it takes a longer period of time to redirect my attention to the work at hand.

The style of telemarketing. is annoying–people (who often insist upon establishing my identity–particularly credit card peddlers–and who pronounce my name wrong) attempt to get out a long message in such a way it would be rude to interrupt under normal social circumstances.

I read about the proposed rule in the news recently, and I was highly annoyed by the report that telemarketers are challenging this rule using the law of free speech. The balancing tests used to

determine free speech rights do not support their claims. This is a situation where two classic, snf conflicting, constitutional rights are implicated-mere *commercial* free speech and the right to privacy—"the right to be left alone." My home is my castle, and it is also my place of business. Justice Louis Brandeis established the existence of the privacy right in his classic 1890 Harvard Law Review article and said in the 1928 decision of *Olmstead v. United States,* "The right to be left alone—the most comprehensive of rights, and the right most valued by civilized men." One of the basic fiee speech principles is that free speech does not justify the commission of a crime.

As far as I am concern@·telemarketing calls are crimes—they are a breach of the peace; they are a theft of my time and money; and they are akin to fighting words in that the normal person can become so annoyed that the call can trigger abuse and language that could constitute disorderly conduct as retaliation. The less-privileged *commercial* fiee speech argument is misplaced.

I am also opposed to charitable telemarketing because (1) a great deal of donated money goes to paying for telemarketing, and (2) I am particularly opposed to police charitable telemarketing, because my local police department engages in widespread violations of civil rights (militarist tactics and police homicides), and police charities attempt to abuse the public's general respect of police.

Therefore, I fully support the proposed FTC rule and urge the Commission to act promptly (noting from web research the period of time discussions of telemarketing have taken place) and urge that charitable telemarketers be added to the rule's prohibitions and central registry.

I also commend the Commission for its web site, because it was possible for me to react to the popular news report by going to it and immediately getting the information I needed to comment. That mode of providing information makes inclusive and participatory democracy possible.

Your consideration of my views is appreciated.

Sincerely,

James W. Zion

Dear FTC, 2-5-02

This letter is to offer our support for your initiative on modifying the Telemarketing Sales Rule.

All your proposals make sense & we welcome them.

We are tired of being bombarded with phone calls at all hours. The especially persistent ones are AT&T.

Whatever you can do to limit these calls we are for.

Thank you in advance!

Bob & Fran Zikes

[redacted], WA [redacted]